

Partial Differential Equations in Biology

The boundary element method

March 26, 2013

Introduction and notation

The problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } D \subset \mathbb{R}^d \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } \Gamma_D \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \end{cases}$$

where $\partial D = \overline{\Gamma_D} \cup \overline{\Gamma_N}$, $\Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N = \emptyset$ (possibly, $\Gamma_D = \emptyset$ [Neumann problem] or $\Gamma_N = \emptyset$ [Dirichlet problem]).

Given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- ▶ $K(\cdot, \xi)$ denotes the fundamental solution of the Laplace operator:

$$-\Delta K = \delta_\xi.$$

- ▶ $T(\cdot, \xi)$ denotes the normal derivative of $K(\cdot, \xi)$, defined on $\partial D = \Gamma$ (\mathbf{n} is the unit outward normal vector on Γ):

$$T(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Fundamental solutions

If $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi}|}$$

$$T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi}}{|\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi}|^2} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}).$$

If $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi}|}$$

$$T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi}}{|\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi}|^3} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Basic integral equations

Internal points: $\xi \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} u(\xi) &+ \int_{\Gamma} u(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \xi) ds(\mathbf{x}) - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Boundary points: $\xi \in \Gamma$ (regular boundary)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} u(\xi) &+ \int_{\Gamma} u(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \xi) ds(\mathbf{x}) - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Polyhedral domain and piecewise-polynomial functions

- ▶ The domain D is approximated by a polyhedral domain D_h (union of triangles or tetrahedra; here h is the maximum of their diameters).
- ▶ The boundary $\partial D = \Gamma$ is therefore approximated by ∂D_h (union of M segments or triangles S_k).
- ▶ The approximate solution is piecewise-polynomial (on D_h , if the problem to approximate is defined in D , or on ∂D_h , if the problem to approximate is defined in Γ).

In our case (approximation of the integral equation (2), defined on Γ , by piecewise-constant functions on ∂D_h):

- ▶ the unknowns are the values at the mid-point (or baricenter) ξ_j of S_j , $j = 1, \dots, M$.

The approximate equations: collocation method

Let u_h the approximation of u on the boundary and q_h the approximation of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ on the boundary (clearly, u_h and q_h are defined on ∂D_h , while u and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ are defined on Γ). They are identified by their constant values α_k and β_k on the element S_k . We can start considering an approximate form of (2), valid for $\xi \in \partial D_h$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}u_h(\xi) &+ \int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\mathbf{x})T(\mathbf{x}, \xi) ds(\mathbf{x}) - \int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\mathbf{x})K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int_D f(\mathbf{x})K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The approximate equations: collocation method (cont'd)

Dirichlet problem. We know $u = \varphi$ on the boundary Γ , therefore we can construct a suitable approximation φ_h on the boundary ∂D_h . We look for q_h , the approximation of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$.
For $j = 1, \dots, M$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_h(\xi_j) + \int_{\partial D_h} \varphi_h(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ - \int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) ds(\mathbf{x}) = \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) ds(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k=1}^M \int_{S_k} q_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^M \beta_k \int_{S_k} K(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) ds(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

The approximate equations: collocation method (cont'd)

We have thus obtained the linear system

$$A^{\text{Dir}} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{b}^{\text{Dir}},$$

where

$$A_{jk}^{\text{Dir}} = \int_{S_k} K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j) ds(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$b_j^{\text{Dir}} = \frac{1}{2} \varphi_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j) + \int_{\partial D_h} \varphi_h(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j) ds(\mathbf{x}) - \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j) d\mathbf{x}.$$

The matrix A^{Dir} is not symmetric.

The approximate equations: collocation method (cont'd)

Neumann problem. We know $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g$ on the boundary Γ , therefore we can construct a suitable approximation g_h on the boundary ∂D_h . We look for u_h , the approximation of u . For $j = 1, \dots, M$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}u_h(\xi_j) + \int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\mathbf{x})T(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ - \int_{\partial D_h} g_h(\mathbf{x})K(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) ds(\mathbf{x}) = \int_D f(\mathbf{x})K(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\mathbf{x})T(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) ds(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k=1}^M \int_{S_k} u_h(\mathbf{x})T(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k \int_{S_k} T(\mathbf{x}, \xi_j) ds(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

The approximate equations: collocation method (cont'd)

We have thus obtained the linear system

$$A^{\text{Neu}} \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{b}^{\text{Neu}},$$

where

$$A_{jk}^{\text{Neu}} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{jk} + \int_{S_k} T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j) ds(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$b_j^{\text{Neu}} = \int_{\partial D_h} g_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j) ds(\mathbf{x}) + \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j) dx.$$

The matrix A^{Neu} is not symmetric.

[The matrix A^{Neu} is singular, the kernel being given by constant vectors $c(1, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$: a technical problem that we do not look at in depth.]

The approximate equations: Galerkin method

Another set of approximate equations can be obtained by projecting equation (3) on the subspace of piecewise-constant functions on ∂D_h . This is an example of Galerkin method.

Let us define by $\{\psi_j\}$, $j = 1, \dots, M$, a set of basis functions of the vector space given by the piecewise-constant functions on ∂D_h .

We can write $u_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k \psi_k(\boldsymbol{\xi})$, $q_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{k=1}^M \beta_k \psi_k(\boldsymbol{\xi})$.

[The simplest choice is given by ψ_j equal to 1 in S_j , and equal to 0 in all the other S_l for $l \neq j$.]

The approximate equations: Galerkin method (cont'd)

Multiplying equation (3) by ψ_j and integrating on ∂D_h we find for each $j = 1, \dots, M$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & \quad + \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & \quad - \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & = \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The approximate equations: Galerkin method (cont'd)

Dirichlet problem. We know $u = \varphi$ on the boundary Γ , therefore we can construct a suitable approximation φ_h on the boundary ∂D_h . We look for q_h , the approximation of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$. For $j = 1, \dots, M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_h} \varphi_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & \quad + \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_{\partial D_h} \varphi_h(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & \quad - \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & = \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^M \beta_k \int_{\partial D_h} \int_{\partial D_h} K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}). \end{aligned}$$

The approximate equations: Galerkin method (cont'd)

We have thus obtained the linear system

$$\widehat{A}^{\text{Dir}} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \widehat{\mathbf{b}}^{\text{Dir}},$$

where

$$\widehat{A}_{jk}^{\text{Dir}} = \int_{\partial D_h} \int_{\partial D_h} K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{b}_j^{\text{Dir}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_h} \varphi_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_{\partial D_h} \varphi_h(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = K(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x})$, the matrix \widehat{A}^{Dir} is clearly symmetric.

The approximate equations: Galerkin method (cont'd)

Neumann problem. We know $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g$ on the boundary Γ , therefore we can construct a suitable approximation g_h on the boundary ∂D_h . We look for u_h , the approximation of u . For $j = 1, \dots, M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & \quad + \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & \quad - \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_{\partial D_h} g_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & = \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The approximate equations: Galerkin method (cont'd)

We can write

$$\int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k \int_{\partial D_h} \psi_k(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k \int_{\partial D_h} \int_{\partial D_h} T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}). \end{aligned}$$

The approximate equations: Galerkin method (cont'd)

We have thus obtained the linear system

$$\widehat{A}^{\text{Neu}} \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \widehat{\mathbf{b}}^{\text{Neu}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{A}_{jk}^{\text{Neu}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_h} \psi_k(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial D_h} \int_{\partial D_h} T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \\ \widehat{b}_j^{\text{Neu}} &= \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_{\partial D_h} g_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\xi}}{|\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) & \text{for } d=2 \\ -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\xi}}{|\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\xi}|^3} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) & \text{for } d=3, \end{cases}$$

we see that $T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \neq T(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x})$, and therefore the matrix \widehat{A}^{Neu} is not symmetric [moreover, as in the collocation case, it is singular...].

The approximate equations: Galerkin method (cont'd)

A symmetric Galerkin formulation for the Neumann problem can be derived by using a different integral equation, that can be proved to hold for $\xi \in \Gamma$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(\xi) &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial n \xi} \int_{\Gamma} u(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \xi) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ &- \frac{\partial}{\partial n \xi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial n \xi} \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Its approximate form for $\xi \in \partial D_h$, in terms of u_h and q_h , is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} q_h(\xi) &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial n \xi} \int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \xi) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ &- \frac{\partial}{\partial n \xi} \int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) ds(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial n \xi} \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \xi) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The approximate equations: Galerkin method (cont'd)

Multiplying equation (10) by ψ_j and integrating on ∂D_h we find for each $j = 1, \dots, M$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & \quad + \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & \quad - \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & = \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \int_{\partial D_h} u_h(\mathbf{x}) T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k \int_{\partial D_h} \int_{\partial D_h} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}). \end{aligned}$$

The approximate equations: Galerkin method (cont'd)

We have thus obtained the linear system

$$\tilde{A}^{\text{Neu}} \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{\text{Neu}},$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_{jk}^{\text{Neu}} = \int_{\partial D_h} \int_{\partial D_h} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}_j^{\text{Neu}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \int_{\partial D_h} q_h(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial D_h} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \int_D f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} T(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\mathbf{x}}} K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ is symmetric in \mathbf{x} and $\boldsymbol{\xi}$, we see that the matrix \tilde{A} is symmetric.

The approximate equations: Galerkin method (cont'd)

Let us remark that the construction of the matrices \widehat{A}^{Dir} , \widehat{A}^{Neu} and $\widetilde{A}^{\text{Neu}}$ only requires that we have a basis ψ_k for the space where we look for the approximate solution: namely, we can repeat the same construction for piecewise-linear functions, or piecewise-polynomial functions, once we have a basis for that space of functions.

In the particular case of piecewise-constant functions, we can compute the entries of these matrices in a more explicit way: for instance, since $\psi_k = 1$ in S_k and $\psi_k = 0$ outside S_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{A}_{jk}^{\text{Dir}} &= \int_{\partial D_h} \int_{\partial D_h} K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) \psi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ &= \int_{S_k} \int_{S_j} K(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) ds(\mathbf{x}) ds(\boldsymbol{\xi}).\end{aligned}$$